

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/335,218	Applicant(s) WRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner BJ Forman	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Allan Kiang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 25-45, 55 63

Prior art documents discussed:

5,691,143; ,5681705; 6,309,833

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Kiang that the previous rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendments and Applicant's comments regarding the references teaching away from the methods as newly claimed. The examiner stated that the above listed prior art teaches primers with diagnostic nucleotides at the 3' nucleotide of the primers. The examiner suggested amendments to define the diagnostic nucleotide away from any suggested in the prior art. Amendments were discussed and agreed upon. .